

THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR DARBOUX INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS AND NON-LINEAR D'ALEMBERT FORMULAS

I. M. ANDERSON AND M. E. FELS

Dedicated to our good friend and collaborator Peter J. Olver on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. To every Darboux integrable system there is an associated Lie group G which is a fundamental invariant of the system and which we call the Vessiot group. This article shows that solving the Cauchy problem for a Darboux integrable partial differential equation can be reduced to solving an equation of Lie type for the Vessiot group G . If the Vessiot group G is solvable then the Cauchy problem can be solved by quadratures. This allows us to give explicit integral formulas, similar to the well known D'Alembert's formula for the wave equation, to the initial value problem with generic non-characteristic initial data.

1. INTRODUCTION

The solution to the classical wave equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = 0$ with initial data $u(0, x) = f(x)$ and $u_t(0, x) = g(x)$ is given by the well-known D'Alembert's formula

$$(1.1) \quad u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} (f(x-t) + f(x+t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(\xi) d\xi.$$

In this article we characterize a broad class of differential equations where the solution to the Cauchy problem can be expressed in terms of the initial data by quadratures.

The family of equations which we identify that can be solved in this manner are a subset of the partial differential equations which are known as the Darboux integrable equations. The results we present here are for the classical case of a scalar Darboux integrable equation in the plane but these results do hold in the more general case of a Darboux integrable exterior differential systems. An example of such equations is the non-linear hyperbolic PDE

$$u_{xy} = \frac{u_x u_y}{u - x}.$$

With initial data given along $y = x$ by $u(x, x) = f(x)$ and $u_x(x, x) = \frac{1}{2}(f'(x) + g(x))$ we find that the analogue to (1.1) is

$$u(x, y) = x + (f(y) - y)e^{\int_x^y G(t)dt} + e^{-\int_0^x G(t)dt} \left(\int_x^y e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds \right),$$

Date: October 9, 2012.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58A15, 35L52; Secondary 58J70, 35A30, 34A26.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy Problem, Darboux Integrability, Exterior Differential Systems, D'Alembert formula.

where

$$(1.2) \quad G(t) = \frac{f'(t) + g(t)}{2(t - f(t))}.$$

A fundamental invariant of a Darboux integrable system called the Vessiot group was introduced in [2]. The Vessiot group G is a Lie group which plays an essential role in the analysis of many of the geometric properties of Darboux integrable equations [3], [4]. This is also true when solving the Cauchy problem for these systems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}) = 0$ be a hyperbolic PDE in the plane. If F (or its prolongation) is Darboux integral then the initial value problem can be solved by an equation of fundamental Lie type for the Vessiot group G . If G is simply connected and solvable, then the initial value problem can be solved by quadratures.*

Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as a generalization of the classical theorem of Sophus Lie on solving an ordinary differential equation by quadratures [15]. This theorem states that the general solution, or the solution to the initial value problem, of an n^{th} order ordinary differential equations with an n -dimensional solvable symmetry group can be solved by quadratures. While this classical result on integrating ODE's has motivated our work, there is one fundamental difference between this classical result for ODE and Theorem 1.1. In Theorem 1.1 the group G is **not** a symmetry group of the PDE $F = 0$.

In [8] it is shown how the initial value problem for Darboux integrable hyperbolic systems can be solved using Frobenius' Theorem. The approach we take here is quite different. By using the quotient representation for Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian systems constructed in [2], we show that the initial value problem can be solved by solving an equation of fundamental Lie type for the Vessiot group G . This, in turn, allows us to conclude that if the group G is solvable, then the initial value problem can be solved by quadratures. The relationship between our approach and the approach given in [8] is described in Appendix A.

2. PFAFFIAN SYSTEMS AND REDUCTION

In this section we give the definition of a Pfaffian system and summarize some basic facts about their reduction by a symmetry group.

2.1. Pfaffian systems. A constant rank Pfaffian system is given by a constant rank sub-bundle $I \subset T^*M$. An integral manifold of I is a smooth immersion $f : S \rightarrow M$ such that $f^*I = 0$. If S is an open interval, then we call f an integral curve of I , [6].

A **local first integral** of a Pfaffian system I is a smooth function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, defined on an open set $U \subset M$, such that $df \in I$. For each point $x \in M$ we define

$$(2.1) \quad I_x^\infty = \{ df_x \mid f \text{ is a local first integral, defined about } x \}.$$

We shall assume that $I^\infty = \bigcup_{x \in M} I_x^\infty$ is a constant rank bundle on M . It is easy to verify that I^∞ is the (unique) maximal, completely integrable, Pfaffian sub-system of I . Granted additional

regularity conditions (see below), the bundle I^∞ can be computed algorithmically using the derived sequence of I .

The **derived system** $I' \subset I$ of a Pfaffian system I is defined pointwise by

$$I'_x = \text{span}\{ \theta_x \mid \theta \in \mathcal{S}(I), \text{ and } d\theta \equiv 0 \pmod{I} \}.$$

The system I is integrable if it satisfies the Frobenius condition $I' = I$. Letting $I^{(0)} = I$, and assuming $I^{(k)}$ is constant rank, we define the derived sequence inductively by

$$I^{(k+1)} = (I^{(k)})', \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

where N is the smallest integer where $I^{(N+1)} = I^{(N)}$. Therefore $I^\infty = I^{(N)}$ whenever the sets $I^{(k)}$ are constant bundles. More information about the derived sequence can be found in [6].

2.2. Reduction of Pfaffian systems. A Lie group G acting on M is a **symmetry group** of the Pfaffian system I if

$$g^*I = I$$

for all $g \in G$. The group G acts regularly on M if the quotient map $\mathbf{q}_G : M \rightarrow M/G$ is a smooth submersion. Let Γ denote the infinitesimal generators of the action of G and $\mathbf{\Gamma} \subset T^*M$ its pointwise span then $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \ker(\mathbf{q}_{G*})$. Assume from now on that the action of G on M is regular and a symmetry group of I .

The reduction I/G of I by a symmetry group G is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad I/G = \{ \bar{\theta} \in \Lambda^1(M/G) \mid \mathbf{q}_G^* \bar{\theta} \in I \}.$$

Let $\text{ann}(I) \subset TM$ be the annihilating space of I . It is easy to check that I/G is constant rank if and only if $\mathbf{\Gamma} \cap \text{ann}(I)$ is constant rank [1] in which case $\text{rank}(I/G) = \text{rank}(I) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{\Gamma} \cap \text{ann}(I))$. The annihilating space $\text{ann}(I)$ is G invariant and satisfies [12],

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{q}_{G*}(\text{ann}(I)) = \text{ann}(I/G).$$

For examples on computing I/G , applications, and more information about its properties see [1], [3], [4], [12].

For a constant rank Pfaffian system I , the symmetry group G is said to act transversally if

$$(2.4) \quad \ker(\mathbf{q}_{G*}) \cap \text{ann}(I) = 0.$$

The following basic theorem which follows directly from Theorem 2.2 in [3] illustrates the importance of the transversality condition (2.4).

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a symmetry group of the constant rank Pfaffian system I on M acting freely and regularly on M and transversally to I' . Then I/G is a constant rank Pfaffian system and $(I')/G = (I/G)'$. Furthermore, if $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M/G$ is a one-dimensional integral manifold of I/G , then a lift $\sigma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ of γ defining an integral manifold of I can be found by solving an equation of fundamental Lie type.*

If \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G , then given a curve $\alpha : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, the system of ODE

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = (L_\lambda)_*(\alpha(t))$$

for the curve $\lambda : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow G$ is called an equation of fundamental Lie type. See pg. 55 in [5] for more information of equations of Lie type. If G is simply connected and \mathfrak{g} is solvable, then an equation of fundamental Lie type can be solved by quadratures. See Proposition 4 pg. 60 of [5].

Proof. The first parts of the theorem are proved in [1]. For the last part of lifting the integral curve γ we begin by noting that since G is free we may, by using a G -invariant Riemannian metric on M , write

$$(2.5) \quad I = \mathbf{q}_G^*(I/G) \oplus K,$$

where $\mathbf{q}^*(I/G)$ is the pullback bundle, and K is its G -invariant orthogonal complement in I . The transversality condition (2.4) implies that

$$\text{rank}(K) = \dim G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ann}(K) \cap \ker(\mathbf{q}_{G*}) = 0.$$

Therefore $\text{ann}(K)$ is a horizontal space for the principle bundle $M \rightarrow M/G$. Consequently since γ is an integral curve of I/G , a lift σ which is an integral curve of I satisfies on account of equation (2.5), $\sigma^*(K) = 0$. Therefore σ is a horizontal lift for the connection $\text{ann}(K)$ on the principal bundle M . But the system of ODE determining a horizontal lift for a connection on a principal bundle is precisely an equation of fundamental Lie type for the structure group G [14]. ■

Remark 2.2. There are two standard ways to find the lift $\sigma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ of γ . To describe them let $y_0 \in M$ satisfy $\mathbf{q}_G(y_0) = \gamma(t_0)$ and we find a lift satisfying $\sigma(t_0) = y_0$.

The first way is to start with *any* lift $\hat{\sigma} : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ of γ satisfying $\hat{\sigma}(t_0) = y_0$. Then look for a lift $\sigma(t) = \lambda(t)\hat{\sigma}(t)$ where $\lambda : (a, b) \rightarrow G$ and $\lambda(t_0) = e_G$. Requiring σ be an integral curve of I is equivalent to λ satisfying an equation of fundamental Lie type. The equation is easily determined algebraically from I and $\hat{\sigma}$.

The second way to find the lift σ is to assume $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M/G$ is an embedding and let $S = \gamma(a, b)$. Theorem 2.1 states that $(I)_{\mathbf{q}_G^{-1}(S)}$ is an equation of fundamental Lie type in the sense of [10] or [11]. We then compute the maximal integral manifold through $y_0 \in \mathbf{q}_G^{-1}(S)$ using the fact that the restriction of I to $\mathbf{q}_G^{-1}(S)$ is an equation of Lie type.

3. HYPERBOLIC PFAFFIAN SYSTEMS

A constant rank Pfaffian system I is said to be hyperbolic of class s if the following holds. About each point $x \in M$ there exists an open set U and a coframe $\{ \theta^1, \dots, \tilde{\theta}^s, \hat{\omega}, \hat{\pi}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\pi} \}$ on U such that

$$I = \text{span}\{ \theta^1, \dots, \theta^s \}$$

and the following structure equations hold,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta^i &\equiv 0 && \text{mod } I \quad 1 \leq i \leq s-2 \\
d\theta^{s-1} &\equiv \hat{\omega} \wedge \hat{\pi} && \text{mod } I \\
d\theta^s &\equiv \check{\omega} \wedge \check{\pi} && \text{mod } I.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}$$

The two Pfaffian systems \hat{V} and \check{V} defined by

$$\hat{V} = \text{span}\{ \theta^i, \hat{\pi}, \hat{\omega} \}, \quad \check{V} = \text{span}\{ \theta^i, \check{\pi}, \check{\omega} \}
\tag{3.2}$$

are called the associated **characteristic or singular subsystems** of I . They are invariants of I . Let \hat{V}^∞ and \check{V}^∞ be the corresponding subspaces of first integrals for the singular Pfaffian systems (3.2) for the hyperbolic Pfaffian system I . The sets \hat{V}^∞ and \check{V}^∞ are called the spaces of intermediate integrals of I or the Darboux invariants of I . The hyperbolic system I is said to be **Darboux integrable** if $I^\infty = 0$, and

$$\hat{V} + \check{V}^\infty = T^*M, \text{ and } \hat{V}^\infty + \check{V} = T^*M.
\tag{3.3}$$

See [2] and Theorem 4.3 in [3]. In particular Theorem 4.3 in [3] shows that the conditions in (3.3) imply

$$\hat{V}^\infty \cap \check{V}^\infty = 0.
\tag{3.4}$$

In the article [8] the theory of hyperbolic systems is developed in detail. In [2] the notion of a decomposable differential system was defined which includes hyperbolic systems as a special case. See Section 5.2 for information on decomposable systems.

The characteristic directions for a hyperbolic system I with singular systems \hat{V} and \check{V} are $\text{ann}(\hat{V})$ and $\text{ann}(\check{V})$ [8]. A non-characteristic integral curve is an immersion $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ which is a one-dimensional integral manifold of I such that

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) \notin \text{ann}(\hat{V}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\gamma}(t) \notin \text{ann}(\check{V})
\tag{3.5}$$

for all $t \in (a, b)$. Given a non-characteristic integral curve $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ of I , a solution to the Cauchy or initial value problem for γ is a 2-dimensional integral manifold $f : N \rightarrow M$ of I such that $\gamma(a, b) \subset f(N)$. A local solution to the Cauchy problem about a point $x \in \gamma(a, b)$ is an open neighbourhood $U \subset M$, $x \in U$, and an 2-dimensional integral manifold $f : N \rightarrow M$ of I such that $\gamma(a, b) \cap U \subset f(N)$.

Hyperbolic Pfaffian system of class $s = 3$ are closely related to hyperbolic partial differential equations in the plane [13]. Specifically, a hyperbolic PDE in the plane

$$F(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}) = 0
\tag{3.6}$$

defines a 7 dimensional submanifold $M \subset J^2(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$. Let I be the rank 3 Pfaffian system which is the restriction of the rank 3 contact system on $J^2(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$ to M . The following structure equations proven in [13] show that I is a class $s = 3$ hyperbolic Pfaffian system.

Theorem 3.1. *Let I be the rank 3 Pfaffian system on the 7-manifold M defined by the hyperbolic PDE in the plane in (3.6). About each point $x \in M$ there exists a local coframe $\{\theta^i, \omega^a, \pi^a\}_{0 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq a \leq 2}$ such that*

$$I = \text{span}\{ \theta^0, \theta^1, \theta^2 \},$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} d\theta^0 &= \theta^1 \wedge \omega^1 + \theta^2 \wedge \omega^2 && \text{mod } \theta^0 \\ d\theta^1 &= \omega^1 \wedge \pi^1 + \mu_1 \theta^2 \wedge \pi^2 && \text{mod } \theta^0, \theta^1 \\ d\theta^2 &= \omega^2 \wedge \pi^2 + \mu_2 \theta^1 \wedge \pi^1 && \text{mod } \theta^0, \theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

where μ_1, μ_2 are the Monge-Ampere invariants. The invariant conditions $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$ are satisfied if and only if (3.6) is locally a Monge-Ampere equation.

The article by Gardner and Kamran [13] gives an algorithm to construct the coframe in equations (3.7). The corresponding singular systems \widehat{V} and \widetilde{V} are denoted by $C(\mathcal{I}_F, dM_1)$ and $C(\mathcal{I}_F, dM_2)$ in [13] and are given in the frame (3.7) as

$$\widehat{V} = \{\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \omega^1, \pi^1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{V} = \{\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \omega^2, \pi^2\}.$$

4. THE VESSIOT GROUP AND THE QUOTIENT REPRESENTATION

4.1. The quotient representation. We now explain the fundamental role played by the quotient of a Pfaffian system by a symmetry group in the theory of Darboux integrability. See section 6, page 20, in [3] (with $L = G_{\text{diag}}$) for more information and detailed proofs of the following fundamental theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K_i, i = 1, 2$ be constant rank Pfaffian systems on $M_i, i = 1, 2$ of codimension 2, and satisfying $K_i^\infty = 0$. Consider a Lie group G which acts freely and regularly on M_i , is a common symmetry group of both K_1 and K_2 and acts transversely to K_1 and K_2 . Assume also that the action of the diagonal subgroup $G_{\text{diag}} \subset G \times G$ on $M_1 \times M_2$ is regular and acts transversely to $K'_1 + K'_2$. Then*

- [i] *The sum $K_1 + K_2$ on $M_1 \times M_2$ is a constant rank Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system.*
- [ii] *The singular Pfaffian systems for $K_1 + K_2$ are*

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{W} = K_1 + T^*M_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{W} = T^*M_1 + K_2.$$

- [iii] *The quotient differential system $I = (K_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}}$ on $M = (M_1 \times M_2)/G_{\text{diag}}$ is a constant rank hyperbolic Pfaffian system which is Darboux integrable.*
- [iv] *The singular Pfaffian systems for I are,*

$$\widehat{V} = (K_1 + T^*M_2)/G_{\text{diag}} = \widehat{W}/G_{\text{diag}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{V} = (T^*M_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}} = \widetilde{W}/G_{\text{diag}}.$$

- [v] *The intermediate integrals for I are,*

$$\widehat{V}^\infty = (0 + T^*M_2)/G_{\text{diag}} = \widehat{W}^\infty/G_{\text{diag}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{V}^\infty = (T^*M_1 + 0)/G_{\text{diag}} = \widetilde{W}^\infty/G_{\text{diag}}.$$

The sums of the type $K_1 + K_2$ in Theorem 4.1 are defined precisely as

$$(4.2) \quad K_1 + K_2 = \pi_1^*(K_1) + \pi_2^*(K_2),$$

where $\pi_i : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_i$.

Theorem 4.1 shows how Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian systems can be constructed using the group reduction of pairs of Pfaffian systems. It is a remarkable fact, established in [2], that the converse is true locally, that is, every Darboux integrable hyperbolic system can be realized locally as a non-trivial quotient of a pair of Pfaffian systems with a common symmetry group. The precise formulation of this result is as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *Let I be a Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system on a manifold M and let \widehat{V} and \check{V} be the singular Pfaffian systems as in (3.2). Fix a point x_0 in M and let*

- [i] M_1 and M_2 be the maximal integral manifolds of \widehat{V}^∞ and \check{V}^∞ through x_0 , and
- [ii] K_1 and K_2 be the restrictions of \widehat{V} and \check{V} to M_1 and M_2 respectively.

Then there are open sets $U \subset M$, $U_1 \subset M_1$, $U_2 \subset M_2$, each containing x_0 , and a local action of a Lie group G on U_1 and U_2 satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 4.1 and such that

$$(4.3) \quad U = (U_1 \times U_2)/G_{\text{diag}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_U = ((K_1 + K_2)_{U_1 \times U_2})/G_{\text{diag}},$$

and properties [iv] and [v] in Theorem 4.1 hold.

The group G in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 is called the **Vessiot group** of the Darboux integrable system I . We shall refer to (4.3) or [iii] in Theorem 4.1 as **the canonical quotient representation for a Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system I** .

Remark 4.3. It is a non-trivial but algorithmic process to find the group G and its action in Theorem 4.2. The Lie algebra of infinitesimal generators of G can be found algebraically, while the action may require solving a system of Lie type [9], [10].

5. SOLVING THE CAUCHY INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR DARBOUX INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

In this section we solve the initial value problem for a Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system and give a proof of Theorem 1.1. We show how this is related to the classical approach to solving the Cauchy problem for these systems. In the final subsection we outline how the theory applies to the more general case of Darboux integrable systems.

5.1. The Cauchy problem for hyperbolic systems. We begin with a key theorem which shows that the lift of a non-characteristic integral curve is again a non-characteristic integral curve.

Theorem 5.1. *Let I be a hyperbolic Pfaffian system which is Darboux integrable and let $(K_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}}$ be the canonical quotient representation of I as in Theorem 4.2. Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be non-characteristic integral curve of I and let $\sigma : (a, b) \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ be a lift of γ which is a one-dimensional integral curve of $K_1 + K_2$. Then σ is a non-characteristic integral curve for $K_1 + K_2$.*

Note that the existence of σ in Theorem 5.1 is guaranteed by Theorem 2.1.

Proof. In parts [i] and [ii] Theorem 4.1 it is noted that $K_1 + K_2$ is Darboux integrable with characteristic systems $\widehat{W} = (K_1 + T^*M_2)$ and $\widetilde{W} = T^*M_1 + K_2$. Therefore in view of equation (3.5) to prove Theorem 5.1 we need to show

$$(5.1) \quad \dot{\sigma}(t) \notin \text{ann}(\widehat{W}), \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\sigma}(t) \notin \text{ann}(\widetilde{W})$$

for all $t \in (a, b)$.

From part [iv] of Theorem 4.1 and equation (2.3) we find that,

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}^*}(\text{ann}(\widehat{W})) = \text{ann}(\widehat{W}/G) = \text{ann}(\widehat{V}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}^*}(\text{ann}(\widetilde{W})) = \text{ann}(\widetilde{W}/G) = \text{ann}(\check{V}).$$

Now suppose that σ is characteristic at some point so that $\dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \text{ann}(\widehat{W})$. Then by (5.2)

$$\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}^*}\dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \text{ann}(\widehat{V})$$

and hence, since σ is a lift of γ , $\dot{\gamma}(t_0) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}^*}\dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \text{ann}(\widehat{V})$. This contradicts the fact that γ is not characteristic. A similar argument applies if we assume $\dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \widetilde{W}$. ■

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\sigma : (a, b) \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ be a non-characteristic integral curve of $K_1 + K_2$, where K_1 and K_2 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Then the curve $\sigma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i$ defined by*

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma_i = \pi_i \circ \sigma$$

is a 1-dimensional integral manifold of K_i .

Proof. Applying the definition in (5.3) we find

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma_i^*(K_i) = \sigma^*\pi_i^*(K_i) = 0,$$

because $\pi_i^*(K_i) \subset K_1 + K_2$ from equation (4.2), and the fact that $\sigma^*(K_1 + K_2) = 0$. If we now show that $\sigma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i$ is an immersion then equation (5.4) shows that σ_i are integral curves of K_i .

Suppose $\dot{\sigma}_1(t_0) = \pi_{1*}(\dot{\sigma})(t_0) = 0$ then on one-hand,

$$(5.5) \quad \dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \ker(\pi_{1*}) = 0 + T^*M_2.$$

On the other hand, by writing

$$K_1 + K_2 = (K_1 + T^*M_2) \cap (T^*M_1 + K_2)$$

we have that

$$(5.6) \quad \dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \text{ann}(K_1 + K_2) = \text{ann}(K_1 + T^*M_2) \oplus \text{ann}(T^*M_1 + K_2).$$

Then since

$$\text{ann}(K_1 + T^*M_2) \cap (0 + TM_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ann}(T^*M_1 + K_2) \subset (0 + TM_2),$$

we get from equations (5.5) and (5.6),

$$\dot{\sigma}(t_0) \in \text{ann}(T^*M_1 + K_2) = \text{ann}(\widetilde{W})$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that σ is non-characteristic. A similar argument applies to σ_2 and so we conclude that the $\sigma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i$ are immersions. \blacksquare

Finally the solution to the Cauchy initial value problem can now be given.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be a non-characteristic integral curve for the Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system I with canonical quotient representation $(K_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}}$. Let $\sigma : (a, b) \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ be a lift of γ which is an integral curve of $K_1 + K_2$ and let $\sigma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i$ be $\sigma_i = \pi_i \circ \sigma$ as in Lemma 5.2. Define the smooth function $f : (a, b) \times (a, b) \rightarrow M$ by*

$$(5.7) \quad f(t, s) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(s)).$$

Then f solves the Cauchy problem for γ .

Proof. We first show that f is an integral manifold of I . Define $\Sigma : (a, b) \times (a, b) \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ by

$$\Sigma(t, s) = (\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(s)).$$

Lemma 5.2 shows that Σ is clearly a two dimensional integral manifold of $K_1 + K_2$. Now by the definition of quotient in (2.2), $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}$ maps integral manifolds to (possibly non-immersed) integral manifolds. The condition that G_{diag} acts transversally to $K_1 + K_2$, (condition (2.4)) guarantees that since Σ is an integral of $K_1 + K_2$, the composition $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} \circ \Sigma$ is an immersion. Therefore $f = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} \circ \Sigma$ is a two-dimensional integral manifold of I .

We now show that $\gamma(a, b) \subset f((a, b) \times (a, b))$. All we need to do is set $s = t$ in equation (5.7). Since $\sigma(t) = (\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t))$ we have

$$\Sigma(t, t) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t)) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} \circ \sigma(t) = \gamma(t).$$

Therefore $\gamma(a, b) \subset f((a, b) \times (a, b))$. \blacksquare

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now simple.

Proof. If $F(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}) = 0$ hyperbolic PDE which is Darboux integrable after k prolongations then $I^{<k>}$, the k^{th} prolongation of the rank 3 Pfaffian system I in Theorem 3.1, is a hyperbolic Pfaffian system of class $3 + k$ which is Darboux integrable [8]. We then apply Theorem 5.3 to $I^{<k>}$ to solve the initial value problem for $I^{<k>}$ using the prolongation of the initial Cauchy data $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ which is non-characteristic for $I^{<k>}$. The solution f to the Cauchy problem for the prolongation projects to the solution to the initial value problem for I and hence F .

Finally the solution given in Theorem 5.3 only requires computing the lift of the initial data γ to an integral curve of $K_1 + K_2$ on the product space $M_1 + M_2$. This, by Theorem 2.1, only involves solving an equation of fundamental Lie type. \blacksquare

Remark 5.4. Given a Darboux integrable hyperbolic system I , Theorem 4.2 only guarantees that I admits a local quotient representation in the sense that the action of G is local and the quotient representation only holds locally (4.3). In this case the implementation of Theorem 5.1, Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 will only produce a local solution to the Cauchy problem. However it is still the case that if the Vessiot group G is solvable, then this local solution can be found by quadratures.

5.2. Generalization to Darboux Integrable Systems. In this section we outline how to solve the initial value problem in the general case of Darboux integrable Pfaffian systems which are not necessarily hyperbolic. A simple demonstration is given in Example 6.3.

A Pfaffian system \mathcal{I} is called decomposable if about each point $x \in M$ there exists an open set $U \subset M$ and a coframe on U given by

$$\theta^1, \dots, \theta^r, \hat{\omega}^1, \dots, \hat{\omega}^{n_1}, \hat{\tau}^1, \dots, \hat{\tau}^{p_1}, \check{\omega}^1, \dots, \check{\omega}^{n_2}, \check{\tau}^1, \dots, \check{\tau}^{p_2},$$

where $n_1 + p_1 \geq 2$, $n_2 + p_2 \geq 2$, $n_1, n_2, p_1, p_2 \geq 1$, with the properties (see Theorem 2.3 in [2]),

[i] the Pfaffian system is $I_U = \text{span}\{\theta^i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$;

[ii] the structure equations are

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} d\theta^{i_0} &\equiv 0 & \text{mod } I & \quad 1 \leq i_0 \leq r_1 \\ d\theta^{i_1} &\equiv A_{ab}^{i_1} \hat{\tau}^a \wedge \hat{\omega}^b & \text{mod } I & \quad r_1 \leq i_1 \leq r_2 \\ d\theta^{i_2} &\equiv B_{\alpha\beta}^{i_2} \check{\tau}^\alpha \wedge \check{\omega}^\beta & \text{mod } I & \quad r_2 \leq i_2 \leq r; \end{aligned}$$

[iii] and $I' = \text{span}\{\theta^{i_0}\}$.

Decomposable systems are generalizations of the hyperbolic systems defined in Section 3.

The Pfaffian systems \hat{V} , \check{V} defined by

$$(5.9) \quad \hat{V} = \text{span}\{\theta^i, \hat{\tau}^a, \hat{\omega}^b\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \check{V} = \text{span}\{\theta^i, \check{\tau}^\alpha, \check{\omega}^\beta\}$$

are again called the characteristic or singular subsystems of a decomposable Pfaffian system \mathcal{I} . As in the case of hyperbolic systems, a decomposable Pfaffian system \mathcal{I} is said to be Darboux integrable if $I^\infty = 0$, and

$$(5.10) \quad \hat{V} + \check{V}^\infty = T^*M, \quad \hat{V}^\infty + \check{V} = T^*M.$$

See [2] and Theorem 4.3 in [3].

The Cauchy initial value problem for a decomposable Pfaffian systems consists of first prescribing an $n_1 + n_2 - 1$ dimensional non-characteristic integral manifold $i : S \rightarrow M$ which we will assume to be embedded. We then need to find an $n = n_1 + n_2$ dimensional integral manifold $f : N \rightarrow M$ such that $i(S) \subset f(N)$.

The full generalization of Theorem 1.1 is the following.

Theorem 5.5. *Let I be a Darboux integrable Pfaffian system. The initial value problem for I can be solved by integrating an equation of fundamental Lie type for the Vessiot group G . If G is simply connected and solvable, then the initial value problem can be solved by quadratures.*

The steps needed to prove Theorem 5.5 and solve the Cauchy problem are identical to those in Section 5.1. Theorems 5.1 and 5.3, and Lemma 5.2 have direct generalizations which appear in this section. However the proofs of these corresponding results are significantly different. This is due to the fact that the condition that S be non-characteristic for a general decomposable system is considerably more complex than condition (3.5) for a curve to be non-characteristic for a hyperbolic system.

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 hold For Darboux integrable systems by simply dropping the codimension 2 requirement in Theorem 4.1, see [2] and [3]. This provides the canonical quotient representation of I used in the following analogue of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.6. *Let I be a Darboux integrable Pfaffian system and let $((K_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}}, (M_1 \times M_2)/G_{\text{diag}})$ be the canonical quotient representation of I (as in Theorem 4.2) where G is the Vessiot group. Let $S \subset M$ be a non-characteristic integral manifold of I of dimension $n_1 + n_2 - 1$. Choose $x_0 \in S$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ with $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(x_1, x_2) = x_0$, and let L be the maximal integral manifold of the fundamental Lie system*

$$(5.11) \quad (K_1 + K_2)|_{\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(S)}$$

through (x_1, x_2) . Then $L \subset M_1 \times M_2$ is an $n_1 + n_2 - 1$ non-characteristic integral manifold of $K_1 + K_2$.

See [9] [10] for more information on systems of equations of Lie type. The generalization of Lemma 5.2 is then the following.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $L \subset M_1 \times M_2$ be an $n_1 + n_2 - 1$ dimensional embedded non-characteristic integral manifold of $K_1 + K_2$, where K_1 and K_2 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Then the manifolds $L_i \subset M_i$ defined by*

$$(5.12) \quad L_i = \pi_i(L)$$

satisfy $\dim L_1 = n_2$ and $\dim L_2 = n_1$.

Applying Theorem 5.6 and Lemma 5.7 produces in a manner similar to Theorem 5.3 the following solution to the initial value problem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $S \subset M$ be a non-characteristic $n_1 + n_2 - 1$ dimensional integral manifold for the Darboux integrable Pfaffian system I . Let $L \subset M_1 \times M_2$ be a lift of S which is an integral manifold of $K_1 + K_2$ satisfying the conditions in Theorem 5.6, and let $L_i = \pi_i(L)$. Then the smooth function $f : L_1 \times L_2 \rightarrow M$ defined by*

$$(5.13) \quad f(t, s) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(t, s), \quad t \in L_1, s \in L_2.$$

solves the local Cauchy problem for I about x_0 with Cauchy data $S \subset M$.

Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.8 establish Theorem 5.5.

Remark 5.9. As this paper was near completion we obtained the preprint [16] which gives a detailed example of a Darboux integrable wave map system where the solution to the Cauchy problem reduces to the integration of a Lie system for $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$. This is a special case of the material from this section.

6. EXAMPLES

Example 6.1. For our first example we consider the Darboux integrable partial differential equation

$$(6.1) \quad u_{xy} = \frac{u_x u_y}{u - x}.$$

We will find the solution to (6.1) with initial Cauchy data $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$ given by

$$(6.2) \quad \gamma(x) = \left(x, y = x, u = f(x), u_x = g(x), u_y = f'(x) - g(x), u_{xx} = g' + \frac{g(f' - g)}{x - f}, u_{yy} = f'' - g' + \frac{g(f' - g)}{x - f} \right),$$

where $f(x)$ has no fixed points, by using Theorem 5.3.

The standard rank 3 Pfaffian systems for (6.1) is given on a 7-manifold M with coordinates $(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{yy})$ by

$$I = \text{span} \left\{ \theta = du - u_x dx - u_y dy, \theta_x = du_x - u_{xx} dx - \frac{u_x u_y}{u - x} dy, \theta_y = du_y - \frac{u_x u_y}{u - x} dx - u_{yy} dy \right\}.$$

With

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\omega} &= dx, & \widehat{\pi} &= u_x d \left(\frac{u_{xx}}{u_x} + \frac{1}{u - x} \right), \\ \widetilde{\omega} &= dy, & \widetilde{\pi} &= u_y d \left(\frac{u_{yy}}{u_y} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &\equiv 0 && \text{mod } I, \\ d\theta_x &\equiv \widehat{\omega} \wedge \widehat{\pi} && \text{mod } I, \\ d\theta_y &\equiv \widetilde{\omega} \wedge \widetilde{\pi} && \text{mod } I, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}^\infty &= \text{span} \left\{ dx, d \left(\frac{u_x}{u - x} \right), d \left(\frac{u_{xx}}{u_x} + \frac{1}{u - x} \right) \right\}, \\ \widetilde{V}^\infty &= \text{span} \left\{ dy, d \left(\frac{u_{yy}}{u_y} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The canonical quotient representation for I can be found using the algorithm in [2]. We find $M_1 = \{(y, w, w_y, w_{yy}), w_y > 0\}$, $M_1 = \{(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}), v_x > 0\}$, and

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= \text{span}\{dw - w_y dy, dw_y - w_{yy} dy\}, \\ K_2 &= \text{span}\{dv - v_x dx, dv_x - v_{xx} dx, dv_{xx} - v_{xxx} dx\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Vessiot group G is the non-Abelian group $G = \{(a, b), a \in \mathbf{R}^+, b \in \mathbf{R}\}$ which acts on M_1 and M_2 by

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (a, b) \cdot (y, w, w_y, w_{yy}) &= (y, aw - b, aw_y, aw_{yy}), \\ (a, b) \cdot (x, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}) &= (x, av + b, av_x, av_{xx}, av_{xxx}). \end{aligned}$$

The quotient map $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M$ can be written in coordinates as

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{q} \left(y, w, w_y, w_{yy}, ; x, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx} \right) = \left(x = x, y = y, u = x - \frac{v + w}{v_x}, u_x = \frac{(v + w)v_{xx}}{v_x^2}, u_y = -\frac{w_y}{v_x}, u_{xx} = D_x(u_x), u_{yy} = \frac{-w_{yy}}{v_x} \right)$$

The fact that $I = (K_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}}$ can be seen in coordinates by taking

$$u = x - \frac{v + w}{v_x}$$

from equation (6.5) and then taking the total x and y derivative of u which recovers the PDE (6.1).

We proceed to solve the initial value problem using Theorem 5.3 by finding σ the lift of γ given in (6.2). Using the second method of Remark 2.2 we find the 3-dimensional manifold $P = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(\gamma(x)) \subset M_1 \times M_2$. This is computed from (6.5) and (6.2) which in terms of the parameters x, v, v_x is given by

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} P = \{ & y = x, w = (x - f(x))v_x - v, w_y = (g(x) - f'(x))v_x, w_{yy} = (g'(x) - f''(x) + (g(x) - f'(x))G(x))v_x; \\ & x, v, v_x, v_{xx} = G(x)v_x, v_{xxx} = (G(x)^2 + G'(x))v_x \} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.7) \quad G(x) = \frac{g(x)}{x - f(x)}.$$

The restriction of the Pfaffian system from equation (6.3) to P in (6.6) is then

$$(6.8) \quad (K_1 + K_2)|_P = \text{span}\{ dv - v_x dx, dv_x - G(x)v_x dx \}.$$

We now choose the point (see Remark 2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 = \left(x = 0, y = 0, u = f(0), u_x = g(0), u_y = f'(0) - g(0), \right. \\ \left. u_{xx} = g'(0) - \frac{g(0)(f'(0) - g(0))}{f(0)}, u_{yy} = f''(0) - g'(0) - \frac{g(0)(f'(0) - g(0))}{f(0)} \right) \in S \end{aligned}$$

and $(x_1, x_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ to be (see (6.6))

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2) = \{ & y = 0, w = -f(0), w_y = g(0) - f'(0), w_{yy} = g'(0) - f''(0) + (f'(0) - g(0))G(0); \\ & x = 0, v = 0, v_x = 1, v_{xx} = G(0) \} \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(x_1, x_2) = x_0 = \gamma(0)$. We now find the integral curve σ of $(K_1 + K_2)|_P$ through (x_1, x_2) . This involves solving the Lie equation from (6.8) on P subject to the initial data (6.9). This easily gives

$$(6.10) \quad v_x = e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}, \quad v = \int_0^x e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds.$$

The explicit form for $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ is found by inserting equation (6.10) in (6.6), giving

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(x) = \{ & y = x, w = (x - f(x))e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt} - \int_0^x e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds, w_y = (g(x) - f'(x))e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}, \\ & w_{yy} = (g'(x) - f''(x) + (g(x) - f'(x))G(x))e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}; \\ & x, v = \int_0^x e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds, v_x = e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}, v_{xx} = G(x)e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}, v_{xxx} = (G(x)^2 + G'(x))e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt} \} \end{aligned}$$

The curve $\sigma_1 = \pi_1 \circ \sigma$ is then easily determined from equation (6.11) which in terms of the parameter y is,

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_1(y) &= (y, w = (y - f(y))e^{\int_0^y G(t)dt} - \int_0^y e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds, w_y = (g(y) - f'(y))e^{\int_0^y G(t)dt}, \\ w_{yy} &= (g'(y) - f''(y) + (g(y) - f'(y))G(y))e^{\int_0^y G(t)dt}). \end{aligned}$$

The curve σ in equation (6.11) also projects to the curve $\sigma_2 = \pi_2 \circ \sigma$

$$(6.13) \quad \sigma_2(x) = (x, v = \int_0^x e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds, v_x = e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}, v_{xx} = G(x)e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}, v_{xxx} = (G'(x) + G(x)^2)e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}).$$

Now according to equation (5.7) in Theorem 5.3 the solution to the PDE (6.1) with Cauchy (6.2) is the image of the product of the curves in equation (6.12) and (6.13) in $M_1 \times M_2$ under the map $\mathbf{q}_{G\text{diag}}$ in equation (6.5). This gives

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= x - \frac{v + w}{v_x} \\ &= x - \frac{\int_0^x e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds - (f(y) - y)e^{\int_0^y G(t)dt} - \int_0^y e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds}{e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}} \\ &= x - \frac{\int_y^x e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds - (f(y) - y)e^{\int_0^y G(t)dt}}{e^{\int_0^x G(t)dt}} \\ &= x + (f(y) - y)e^{\int_x^y G(t)dt} + e^{-\int_0^x G(t)dt} \left(\int_x^y e^{\int_0^s G(t)dt} ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(t)$ is given in equation (6.7). It is easy to check that this solves the Cauchy problem (6.2) for the PDE (6.1), and is the analogue of D'Alembert's formula for the wave equation.

For the initial data $u_x(x, x) = \frac{1}{2}(f'(x) + g(x))$ as in the introduction, it is easy to check that the solution is again (6.14) where $G(t)$ is given in equation (1.2).

Example 6.2. In this next example we write the standard rank 3 Pfaffian system I for the non Monge-Ampere hyperbolic equation

$$3u_{xx}u_{yy}^3 + 1 = 0$$

on a 7-manifold M with coordinates $(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xy}, u_{yy})$ by

$$I = \text{span}\{du - u_x dx - u_y dy, du_x + \frac{1}{3u_{yy}^3} dx - u_{xy} dy, du_y - u_{xy} dx - u_{yy} dy\}.$$

The details of the canonical quotient representation for I are given in [3] and we summarize them here. On the 5-manifolds M_1 with coordinates (t, w, v, v_t, v_{tt}) and M_2 with coordinates (s, q, p, p_s, p_{ss}) let

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= \text{span}\{dw - v_{tt}^2 dt, dv - v_t dt, dv_t - v_{tt} dt\}, \\ K_2 &= \text{span}\{dq - p_{ss}^2 ds, dp - p_s ds, dp_s - p_{ss} ds\}. \end{aligned}$$

The action of the group $G = \mathbf{R}^3$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, c) \cdot (t, w, v, v_t, v_{tt}) &= (t, w + a, v + b + ct, v_t + c, v_{tt}) \\ (a, b, c) \cdot (s, q, p, p_s, p_{ss}) &= (s, q - a, p - b + cs, p_s + c, p_{ss}), \quad a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The quotient map $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M$ can be given in coordinates by

(6.16)

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -2 \frac{v_{tt} + p_{ss}}{s + t}, & y &= \frac{1}{2}(v_{tt} - p_{ss})(t + s) + p_s - v_t, \\ u &= -q - w + 2 \frac{t v_t + s p_s - p - v}{s + t} (v_{tt} + p_{ss}) + \frac{1}{3} ((2s - t)v_{tt}^2 + (2t - s)p_{ss}^2 - 2(s + t)v_{tt}p_{ss}), \\ u_x &= p + v - t v_t - s p_s + \frac{s + t}{6} ((2t - s)v_{tt} + (2s - t)p_{ss}), & u_y &= 2 \frac{s v_{tt} - t p_{ss}}{s + t}, \\ u_{xy} &= \frac{1}{2}(t - s), & u_{yy} &= \frac{2}{s + t} \end{aligned}$$

from which is straightforward to check $I = (K_1 + K_2)/G_{\text{diag}}$.

Starting with the Cauchy data $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$

$$\gamma(\epsilon) = (x = 0, y = \epsilon, u = f(\epsilon), u_x = g(\epsilon), u_y = f'(\epsilon), u_{xy} = g'(\epsilon), u_{yy} = f''(\epsilon))$$

The four-dimensional manifold $P = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(\gamma(\epsilon)) \subset M_1 \times M_2$ can be computed from equation (6.16).

Using the parameters ϵ, w, v, v_t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6.17) \quad P &= (t = h(\epsilon), w, v, v_t, v_{tt} = \frac{1}{2}f'; s = k(\epsilon), q = \frac{(f')^2}{2f''} - w - f, \\ &p = g - \frac{f'}{(f'')^2} + \epsilon k(\epsilon) + 2 \frac{v_t}{f''} - v, p_s = v_t + \epsilon - \frac{f'}{f''}, p_{ss} = -\frac{1}{2}f') \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{f''} + g', \text{ and } k(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{f''} - g'.$$

The restriction of $(K_1 + K_2)|_P$ is

$$(K_1 + K_2)|_P = \text{span}\{ dw - \frac{(f')^2}{4}h'd\epsilon, dv - v_th'd\epsilon, dv_t - \frac{f'}{2}h'd\epsilon \}.$$

Taking the point $p_0 = (\epsilon = 0, v = 0, w = 0, v_t = 0) \in P$ we find the maximal integral manifold J of $(K_1 + K_2)|_P$ through p_0 to be

$$v_t(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\epsilon h' f' d\xi, \quad v(\epsilon) = \int_0^\epsilon \left(\int_0^\tau h' f' d\tau \right) h' d\xi, \quad w = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\epsilon (f')^2 h' d\xi.$$

Here $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(p_0) = (0, 0, u = f(0), u_x = g(0), u_y = g'(0), u_{yy} = f''(0)) \in S$. We finally get our solution from Theorem 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{f'(\delta) - f'(\epsilon)}{k(\delta) + h(\epsilon)}, \\ y &= \delta + \frac{1}{4}f'(\epsilon)(h(\epsilon) + k(\delta)) + \frac{1}{4}f'(\delta)(h(\epsilon) - k(\delta)) - \frac{1}{2}f'(\delta)h(\delta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_\epsilon^\delta f'h'd\xi, \\ u &= f(\delta) - \frac{f'(\delta)^2}{2f''(\delta)} + \frac{1}{4} \int_\epsilon^\delta (f')^2 h' d\xi + \frac{f'(\epsilon) - f'(\delta)}{h(\epsilon) + k(\delta)} \left(\frac{f'(\delta)g'(\delta)}{f''(\delta)} - g(\delta) - \frac{1}{2} \int_\epsilon^\delta f'h'h'd\xi \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{k(\delta)}{12}(2f'(\epsilon)f'(\delta) + 2f'(\epsilon)^2 - f'(\delta)^2) + \frac{h(\epsilon)}{12}(2f'(\delta)^2 - f'(\epsilon)^2 + 2f'(\epsilon)f'(\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Example 6.3. In this last example we consider the system of two partial differential equations,

$$u_{xz} = 0, \quad u_{yz} = 0$$

to demonstrate Section 5.2. The standard rank 4 Pfaffian system I for this system on the 11-manifold M with coordinates $(x, y, z, u, u_x, u_y, u_z, u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{yy}, u_{zz})$ is given by

$$(6.18) \quad I = \text{span}\{du - u_x dx - u_y dy - u_z dz, du_x - u_{xx} dx - u_{xy} dy, du_y - u_{xy} dx - u_{yy} dy, du_z - u_{zz} dz\}.$$

In this case we have $n_1 = 2$ and $n_2 = 1$. The canonical quotient representation for the Darboux integrable system I is given by taking $M_1 = J^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ and $M_2 = J^2(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R})$ with

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= \text{span}\{dw - w_z dz, dw_z - w_{zz} dz\}, \\ K_2 &= \text{span}\{dv - v_x dx - v_y dy, dv_x - v_{xx} dx - v_{xy} dy, dv_y - v_{xy} dx - v_{yy} dy\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $I = (K_1 + K_2)/G$ where the action of $G = \mathbf{R}$ is given in coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} c \cdot (z, w, w_z, w_{zz}) &= (z, w + c, w_z, w_{zz}), \\ c \cdot (x, y, v, v_x, v_y, v_{xx}, v_{xy}, v_{yy}) &= (x, y, v - c, v_x, v_y, v_{xx}, v_{xy}, v_{yy}), \quad c \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The quotient map $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M$ written in the above coordinates is easily found to be,

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(z, w, w_z, w_{zz}; x, y, v, v_x, v_y, v_{xx}, v_{xy}, v_{yy}) &= \\ (x, y, z, u = w + v, u_x = v_x, u_y = v_y, u_z = w_z, u_{xx} = v_{xx}, u_{xy} = v_{xy}, u_{yy} = v_{yy}, u_{zz} = w_{zz}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a(x, y)$ be a function of two variables and $k(\xi)$ a function of one, and let S be the following non-characteristic two dimensional integral manifold of I ,

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{aligned} S = (x, y, z = x + y, u = a(x, y), u_x = a_x - k(x + y), u_y = a_y - k(x + y), u_z = k(x + y), \\ u_{xx} = a_{xx} - k'(x + y), u_{xy} = a_{xy} - k'(x + y), u_{yy} = a_{yy} - k'(x + y), u_{zz} = k'(x + y)). \end{aligned}$$

We proceed using Theorem 5.6. The set $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(S)$ is the 3-dimensional manifold with parameters x, y, v easily determined from equations (6.20) and (6.21) to be

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(S) = (z = x + y, w = a(x, y) - v, w_z = k(x + y), u_{zz} = k'(x + y); x, y, v, v_x = a_x - k(x + y), \\ v_y = a_y - k(x + y), v_{xx} = a_{xx} - k'(x + y), v_{xy} = a_{xy} - k'(x + y), v_{yy} = a_{yy} - k'(x + y)). \end{aligned}$$

On $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(S)$ we have from (6.19),

$$(6.23) \quad (K_1 + K_2)|_{\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^{-1}(S)} = \text{span}\{dv - (a_x - k'(x + y))dx - (a_y - k'(x + y))dy\}.$$

We now find, as in Theorem 5.6, the maximal 2-dimensional integral manifold L for the Lie system in equation (6.23) subject to the initial conditions $(x = 0, y = 0, v(0, 0) = a(0, 0))$. We get

$$(6.24) \quad v = a(x, y) - \int_0^{x+y} k(\xi) d\xi,$$

and this determines $L \subset M_1 \times M_2$ in Theorem 5.6. With $w = a(x, y) - v$ from equation (6.22) where v is given in (6.24), we find the manifolds L_i in Lemma 5.7 to be (with $n_1 = 2$ and $n_2 = 1$)

$$(6.25) \quad \begin{aligned} L_1 = \pi_1(L) &= (z, w = \int_0^z k(\xi) d\xi, w_z = k(z), w_{zz} = k'(z)), \\ L_2 = \pi_2(L) &= (x, y, v = a(x, y) - \int_0^{x+y} k(\xi) d\xi, v_x = a_x - k(x+y), v_y = a_y - k(x+y), \\ &\quad v_{xx} = a_{xx} - k'(x+y), v_{xy} = a_{xy} - k'(x+y), v_{yy} = a_{yy} - k'(x+y)). \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Theorem 5.8 to find the solution to the Cauchy problem. With $u = w + v$ from equation (6.20) and substituting for w and v in (6.25) we have

$$u(x, y, z) = \int_0^z k(\xi) d\xi + a(x, y) - \int_0^{x+y} k(\xi) d\xi = a(x, y) + \int_{x+y}^z k(\xi) d\xi.$$

APPENDIX A. THE CLASSICAL THEORY AND A SECOND APPROACH

In this appendix we recall the classical theory for solving the Cauchy problem for Darboux integrable hyperbolic systems as given in Remark 9.4 of [3] (demonstrated in [8]) and then relate this approach to the approach taken to solve the initial value problem given by Theorem 5.3. This will lead to an alternative but equivalent method of solution to the Cauchy problem which again requires solving equations of fundamental Lie type. Example A.4 below demonstrates the theory.

We denote by \mathcal{I} the Pfaffian exterior differential system (EDS) generated by the sections of I . If $\mathbf{p} : M \rightarrow N$ is a surjective submersion we define the reduction of \mathcal{I} by \mathbf{p} as

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{I}/\mathbf{p} = \{ \theta \in \Omega^*(N) \mid \mathbf{p}^*\theta \in \mathcal{I} \}.$$

If G is a symmetry group then $\mathcal{I}/G = \mathcal{I}/\mathbf{q}_G$. For more details on EDS reduction see [4].

Let \mathcal{I} be a Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system and let G, M_i, \mathcal{K}_i be the data for the canonical quotient representation of \mathcal{I} as in Theorem 4.2 or 4.1. Let $\pi_i : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_i$ and let $\mathbf{q}_G^i : M_i \rightarrow M/G_i$ be the quotient maps. The compositions $\mathbf{q}_G^1 \circ \pi_1$ and $\mathbf{q}_G^2 \circ \pi_2$ are invariant with respect to the diagonal action of G on $M_1 \times M_2$ and therefore these maps factor through $\mathbf{q}_{G^{\text{diag}}}$. Accordingly we can define the surjective submersions $\mathbf{p}_i : M \rightarrow M_i/G$ so that the following diagram commutes, (see also equation 6.6 page 21 in [3])

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{K}_1, M_1) & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & (\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2, M_1 \times M_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & (\mathcal{K}_2, M_2) \\ \downarrow \mathbf{q}_G^1 & & \downarrow \mathbf{q}_{G^{\text{diag}}} & & \downarrow \mathbf{q}_G^2 \\ (\mathcal{K}_1/G, M_1/G) & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{p}_1} & (\mathcal{I}, M) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_2} & (\mathcal{K}_2/G, M_2/G). \end{array}$$

By commutativity of diagram (A.2) we mean that the Pfaffian systems \mathcal{K}_i satisfy (see Theorem 3.1 in [3])

$$(A.3) \quad \mathcal{K}_i/G = \mathcal{I}/\mathbf{p}_i \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2)/\pi_i = \mathcal{K}_i.$$

It is important to note that the maps $\mathbf{q}_{G^{\text{diag}}} : (\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2, M_1 \times M_2) \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}, M)$ and $\mathbf{q}_G^i : (\mathcal{K}_i, M_i) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}_i/G, M_i/G)$ in diagram (A.2) all satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 except that G may not

act transversally to K'_i in which case \mathcal{K}_i/G will not be a Pfaffian system. This is demonstrated in Example A.4. The maps $\mathbf{p}_i : (\mathcal{I}, M) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}_i/G, M/G_i)$ are shown in [3] to be integrable extensions [7]. In particular the maps \mathbf{p}_i map integral manifolds of \mathcal{I} to integral manifolds of \mathcal{K}_i/G .

A.1. The classical method. To describe the classical integration method we assume that the two integrable distributions \check{V}^∞ and \hat{V}^∞ are regular so that the two maps to the leaf spaces, $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1 : M \rightarrow M/\text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2 : M \rightarrow M/\text{ann}(\hat{V}^\infty)$ are smooth submersions. Using equation (A.1) we can then construct the following diagram,

$$(A.4) \quad \left(\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1, M/\text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty) \right) \xleftarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1} (\mathcal{I}, M) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2} \left(\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2, M/\text{ann}(\hat{V}^\infty) \right).$$

The quotient spaces $M/\text{ann}(\hat{V}^\infty)$ and $M/\text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty)$ are thought of as the space of intermediate integrals in the sense

$$\check{V}^\infty = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1^* \left(T^*(M/\text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty)) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{V}^\infty = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2^* \left(T^*(M/\text{ann}(\hat{V}^\infty)) \right).$$

The first of these equations implies that if $F : M/\text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1^*(dF)$ takes values in \check{V}^∞ , and that a local basis of sections for \check{V}^∞ can be constructed in this way. A similar statement holds for \hat{V}^∞ .

Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be a non-characteristic integral curve of the Darboux integrable hyperbolic system \mathcal{I} . To continue describing the classical solution to the Cauchy problem we project the curve γ into the space of intermediate integrals by

$$(A.5) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i \circ \gamma,$$

where γ_i are integral curves of $\mathcal{I}/\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i$. Now let $N \subset M$ be the inverse image of the product curves

$$N = (\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2)^{-1} (\tilde{\gamma}_1(a, b), \tilde{\gamma}_2(a, b)).$$

Then $\mathcal{I}|_N$ is a Frobenius system. By determining the maximal integral manifold of $\mathcal{I}|_N$ through a point $\gamma(t_0)$ of $\mathcal{I}|_N$, one determines a local solution to the initial value problem. This is the classical method.

It is important to note that in this classical method the Vessiot group G does not appear and there is no reason to expect that the Frobenius system $\mathcal{I}|_N$ can be integrated by using equations of Lie type.

A.2. A second method and the comparison. The relationship between the classical method and Theorem 5.3 can be described using diagram (A.2) once we make one key observation. Suppose that the manifolds M_i are connected, then the space of intermediate integrals $M/\text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty)$ and $M/\text{ann}(\hat{V}^\infty)$ may be identified with the two quotient spaces M_i/G . In particular it is shown in Theorem 6.1 of [3] that

$$(A.6) \quad \check{V}^\infty = \mathbf{p}_1^* (T^*(M_1/G)) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{V}^\infty = \mathbf{p}_2^* (T^*(M_2/G)).$$

From diagram (A.2), part [v] of Theorem 4.1, and (2.3) we have,

$$\ker(\mathbf{p}_{1*}) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}^*(0 + TM_2) = \text{ann}(\check{V}^\infty).$$

The fibres of \mathbf{p}_1 are of the form $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(x_1, M_2)$ which are connected since M_2 is connected. Therefore by Theorem 3.18 in [4],

$$(A.7) \quad M/\check{V}^\infty \cong M_1/G \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}/\check{\mathbf{p}}_1 \cong \mathcal{K}_1/G,$$

where the first equivalence is by canonical diffeomorphism, and the second equivalence follows from the first equation in (A.3). Similarly we have

$$(A.8) \quad M/\widehat{V}^\infty \cong M_2/G \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}/\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_2 \cong \mathcal{K}_2/G.$$

Therefore diagram (A.4) can be identified with the bottom row of diagram (A.2).

With the identifications (A.7) and (A.8), we continue using diagram (A.2) to relate the classical solution to the Cauchy problem described above and Theorem 5.3. From the initial data γ define the maps $\gamma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i/G$ by

$$(A.9) \quad \gamma_i = \mathbf{p}_i \circ \gamma.$$

Note that with the identifications in equation (A.7) and (A.8), the curves $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ in (A.5) and γ_i in (A.9) are identified. The following lemma follows from the fact that $\mathbf{p}_i : (\mathcal{I}, M) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}_i/G, M_i)$ are integral extensions.

Lemma A.1. *The curves $\gamma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i/G$ are integral curves of \mathcal{K}_i/G .*

Recall now that in Theorem 5.3 we choose a point $x_0 = \gamma(t_0)$ and a point $(x_1, x_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ with $\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(x_1, x_2) = x_0$. We then let $\sigma : (a, b) \rightarrow M_1 \times M_2$ be the lift of γ obtained by Theorem 2.1 through the point $\sigma(t_0) = (x_1, x_2)$. With

$$(A.10) \quad \sigma_i = \pi_i \circ \sigma$$

defined in equation (5.3) in Lemma 5.2, the solution to the initial value problem is given by $f(t, s) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(s))$ in equation (5.7).

Putting the curves γ, σ, σ_i and γ_i into the commutative diagram (A.2), we have

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2, M_1 \times M_2) & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & (\mathcal{K}_i, M_i) & & \\ & \swarrow \sigma & \searrow \sigma_i & & \\ \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}} \downarrow & & (a, b) & & \downarrow \mathbf{q}_G^i \\ & \swarrow \gamma & \searrow \gamma_i & & \\ (\mathcal{I}, M) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}_i} & (\mathcal{K}_i/G, M_i/G), & & \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbf{q}_G^i \circ \sigma_i = \gamma_i$ and the initial points of σ_i and γ_i satisfy

$$(A.12) \quad \sigma_i(t_0) = x_i, \quad \gamma_i(t_0) = \mathbf{p}_i(\mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(x_1, x_2)) = \mathbf{p}_i(x_0) = \mathbf{q}_G^i(\sigma_i(t_0)),$$

we have by Theorem 2.1 and the commutative diagram (A.11) that σ_i is the unique lift of γ_i through x_i which is an integral curve of \mathcal{K}_i .

In particular the curves σ_i in Theorem 5.3 can be found from the curves γ_i , which are determined from the initial data γ in (A.9), by solving two separate equations of fundamental Lie type. This gives us the following alternate method of solving the initial value problem.

Theorem A.2. *Let $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow M$ be a non-characteristic integral curve for the Darboux integrable hyperbolic Pfaffian system I . Let $\gamma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i/G$ be the projection of γ to the two spaces M_i/G , and let $\sigma_i : (a, b) \rightarrow M_i$ be the unique lift of γ_i to an integral curve of K_i on M_i satisfying the initial conditions in (A.12). Each curve σ_i is found by solving an equation of fundamental Lie type and the function*

$$(A.13) \quad f(t, s) = \mathbf{q}_{G_{\text{diag}}}(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(s)), \quad t, s \in (a, b)$$

solves the Cauchy problem for γ .

Theorem 5.3 lifts the Cauchy data γ to $M_1 \times M_2$ and then projects to M_1 and M_2 to construct the solution f . While Theorem A.2 projects the Cauchy data γ to M_i/G and then lifts it to M_i to construct the same solution f .

Finally under the identification of (A.4) with the bottom row of (A.2) the classical method constructs the solution to the initial value problem by integrating the Frobenius system $\mathcal{I}|_N$ where N is the inverse image of the projection of the Cauchy data to M_i/G .

Remark A.3. In the classical approach to solving the initial value problem, the curves γ_i in equation (A.9) are thought of as (through equation (A.6)) as prescribing the value of the intermediate integrals for \mathcal{I} along the initial data. That is we are solving the “prescribed intermediate integral” problem. While in Theorem A.2 we view γ_i as prescribing “curvature invariants” of the curve σ_i which we are trying to find. Again see Example A.4.

Example A.4. In this example we write the standard rank 3 Pfaffian system I for the Liouville equation

$$u_{xy} = e^u$$

on a 7-manifold M with coordinates $(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xy}, u_{yy})$ by

$$I = \text{span}\{du - u_x dx - u_y dy, du_x - u_{xx} dx - e^u dy, du_y - e^u dx - u_{yy} dy\}.$$

The intermediate integrals are given by

$$(A.14) \quad \check{V}^\infty = \text{span}\left\{ dy, d\left(u_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}u_y^2\right) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{V}^\infty = \text{span}\left\{ dx, d\left(u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2\right) \right\}.$$

The details of the canonical quotient representation for I are given in [3] and we summarize them here. Let K_1 and K_2 be the standard contact system on $J^3(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ and $J^3(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$. In local coordinates $(y, w, w_y, w_{yy}, w_{yyy})$ and $(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx})$ we have

$$(A.15) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= \text{span}\{ dw - w_y dy, dw_y - w_{yy} dy, dw_{yy} - w_{yyy} dy \}, \\ K_2 &= \text{span}\{ dv - v_x dx, dv_x - v_{xx} dx, dv_{xx} - v_{xxx} dx \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Gamma_1 = \text{span}\{ \partial_w, pr(w\partial_w), pr(w^2\partial_w) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \text{span}\{ \partial_v, pr(v\partial_v), pr(v^2\partial_v) \}$$

be the Lie algebra of vector-fields given by the prolongation of the standard infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R})$ acting on w and v , and let

$$(A.16) \quad \Gamma_{\text{diag}} = \{ \partial_w - \partial_v, pr(w\partial_w) + pr(v\partial_v), pr(w^2\partial_w) - pr(v^2\partial_v) \}$$

denote the diagonal action. On M , the open set where $v, v_x, w, w_y > 0$, the distribution Γ_{diag} is regular and M/Γ_{diag} is 7 dimensional. The quotient map $\mathbf{q}_{\Gamma_{\text{diag}}}$ can be written in coordinates as (A.17)

$$\mathbf{q}_{\Gamma_{\text{diag}}} = \left(x = x, y = y, u = \log \frac{2w_y v_x}{v+w}, u_x = \frac{v_{xx}}{v_x} - 2\frac{v_x}{v+w}, u_y = \frac{w_{yy}}{w_y} - 2\frac{w_y}{v+w}, \right. \\ \left. u_{xx} = \frac{v_{xxx}}{v_x} - \frac{v_{xx}^2}{v_x^2} - \frac{2v_{xx}}{v+w} + \frac{2v_x^2}{(v+w)^2}, u_{yy} = \frac{w_{yyy}}{w_y} - \frac{w_{yy}^2}{w_y^2} - \frac{2w_{yy}}{v+w} + \frac{2w_y^2}{(v+w)^2} \right),$$

We now construct the lower part of diagram (A.2) by computing the quotients $(\mathcal{K}_i/\Gamma_i, M_i/\Gamma_i)$. The projection maps into the differential invariants of Γ_i on M_i are of course

$$\mathbf{q}_{\Gamma_1}(y, w, w_y, w_{yy}, w_{yyy}) = \left(y = y, \check{s} = \frac{w_{yyy}}{w_y} - \frac{3w_{yy}^2}{2w_y^2} \right), \\ \mathbf{q}_{\Gamma_2}(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}) = \left(x = x, \hat{s} = \frac{v_{xxx}}{v_x} - \frac{3v_{xx}^2}{2v_x^2} \right),$$

where \check{s} and \hat{s} are the Schwartzian derivative of $w(y)$ and $v(x)$. Using the differential invariants \check{s} and \hat{s} , the algebraic generators of the differential systems \mathcal{K}_i can be written

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \langle dw - w_y dy, dw_y - w_{yy} dy, dw_{yy} - w_{yyy} dy, d\check{s} \wedge dy \rangle_{\text{alg}}, \\ \mathcal{K}_2 = \langle dv - v_x dx, dv_x - v_{xx} dx, dv_{xx} - v_{xxx} dx, d\hat{s} \wedge dx \rangle_{\text{alg}}.$$

The quotients are then quickly computed from (A.19) in the coordinates from (A.18) to be

$$\mathcal{K}_1/\Gamma_1 = \langle d\check{s} \wedge dy \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_2/\Gamma_2 = \langle d\hat{s} \wedge dx \rangle.$$

Equation (A.20) shows that an integral curve of \mathcal{K}_1/Γ_1 can be simply thought of as a choice of projective curvature $\check{s} = G(y)$ and an integral curve of \mathcal{K}_2/Γ_2 is another choice of projective curvature $\hat{s} = F(x)$.

The projection maps $\mathbf{p}_i : M \rightarrow M_i/\Gamma_i$ can be determined using the coordinates from equations (A.17) and (A.18). We find

$$\check{s} = \frac{w_{yyy}}{w_y} - \frac{3w_{yy}^2}{2w_y^2} = u_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}u_y^2, \\ \hat{s} = \frac{v_{xxx}}{v_x} - \frac{3v_{xx}^2}{2v_x^2} = u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2,$$

and so

$$\mathbf{p}_1(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{yy}) = \left(y = y, \check{s} = u_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}u_y^2 \right), \\ \mathbf{p}_2(x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx}, u_{yy}) = \left(x = x, \hat{s} = u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \right).$$

Equations (A.21) and (A.22) shows that the intermediate integrals \check{V}^∞ and \hat{V}^∞ are the pullback of the corresponding differential invariants of Γ_i on M_i which is the content of equation (A.6).

We now consider the initial value problem for the non-characteristic integral manifold $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$ of I given by,

$$\gamma(x) = (x, y = x, u = f(x), u_x = g(x), u_y = f'(x) + g(x), u_{xx} = g'(x) - e^{f(x)}, u_{yy} = f''(x) + g'(x) - e^{f(x)}).$$

The projected curves $\gamma_i = \mathbf{p}_i \circ \gamma : M \rightarrow M_i/\Gamma_i$ are determined from (A.22) and (A.23) to be

$$(A.24) \quad \gamma_1(y) = (y, \check{s} = G(y)), \text{ and } \gamma_2(x) = (x, \hat{s} = F(x))$$

where

$$(A.25) \quad G(y) = f''(y) + g'(y) - e^{f(y)} - \frac{1}{2}(f'(y) + g(y))^2, \text{ and } F(x) = g'(x) - e^{f(x)} - \frac{1}{2}g(x)^2.$$

The classical method of solving the initial value problem (A.23) given in Section A.1 is to find the integral manifolds of the system of \mathcal{I} restricted to $(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)^{-1}(y, G(y), x, F(x))$ which is completely integrable. By equations (A.9), (A.22) and (A.25) we have,

$$(A.26) \quad (\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)^{-1}(y, G(y), x, F(x)) = (x, y, u, u_x, u_y, u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 = F(x), u_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}u_y^2 = G(y)),$$

where $G(y)$ and $F(x)$ are in (A.25). The restriction of \mathcal{I} to this subset is the completely integrable Pfaffian system corresponding to the over-determined system of PDE,

$$(A.27) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 &= F(x) = g'(x) - e^{f(x)} - \frac{1}{2}g(x)^2, \\ u_{xy} &= e^u, \\ u_{yy} - \frac{1}{2}u_y^2 &= G(y) = f''(y) + g'(y) - e^{f(y)} - \frac{1}{2}(f'(y) + g(y))^2. \end{aligned}$$

The solution to (A.27) solves the initial value problem (A.23) for the Darboux integrable equation $u_{xy} = e^u$.

The alternative method for solving the initial value problem (A.23) is presented in Theorem A.2. In this case we must construct integral curves $\sigma_i : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M_i$ of the contact systems \mathcal{K}_i such that $\mathbf{q}_{\Gamma_i} \circ \sigma_i = \gamma_i$ where γ_i are given in (A.24). Using the expression for \check{s} and \hat{s} from equation (A.18) we seek curves $w(y)$ and $v(x)$ such that

$$(A.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{w_{yyy}}{w_y} - \frac{3w_{yy}^2}{2w_y^2} &= G(y) = f''(y) + g'(y) - e^{f(y)} - \frac{1}{2}(f'(y) + g(y))^2, \\ \frac{v_{xxx}}{v_x} - \frac{3v_{xx}^2}{2v_x^2} &= F(x) = g'(x) - e^{f(x)} - \frac{1}{2}g(x)^2. \end{aligned}$$

A solution to (A.28) produces a solution to the initial value problem (A.23) by determining the curves σ_i in the formula (A.13) in Theorem A.2.

It is worth pointing out that solving equations (A.28) is a classical reconstruction problem in projective differential geometry. That is, given the Schwartzian or projective curvature, $\hat{s} = F(x), x \in (c, d)$, construct a curve $\alpha : (c, d) \rightarrow \mathbf{RP}^1$ such that the Schwartzian derivative of α is $F(x)$. This is well known to be equivalent to solving an equation of fundamental Lie type for the simple Lie group $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. M. Anderson and M. E. Fels, *Exterior Differential Systems with Symmetry*, Acta. Appl. Math. **87** (2005), 3–31.
- [2] I. M. Anderson, M. E. Fels, and P. V. Vassiliou, *Superposition Formulas for Exterior Differential Systems*, Advances in Math. **221** (2009), 1910–1963.
- [3] I. M. Anderson and M. E. Fels, *Bäcklund Transformations for Darboux Integrable Differential Systems*, arXiv:1108.5443.

- [4] ———, *Symmetry Reduction of Exterior Differential Systems and Bäcklund transformations for PDE in the plane*, Acta. Appl. **120** (2012), 29–60.
- [5] R. L. Bryant, *An introduction to Lie groups and symplectic geometry*, IAS/Park City Mathematics **1** (1995), 5=81.
- [6] R. L. Bryant, S. S. Chern, R.B. Gardner, H. L. Goldschmidt, and P. A. Griffiths, *Exterior Bifferential Systems* **18** (1991).
- [7] R. L. Bryant and P. A. Griffiths, *Characteristic cohomology of differential systems II: Conservation Laws for a class of Parabolic equations*, Duke Math. Journal **78** (1995), no. 3, 531–676.
- [8] R. L. Bryant, P. A. Griffiths, and L. Hsu, *Hyperbolic exterior differential systems and their conservation laws, Parts I and II*, Selecta Math., New series **1** (1995), 21–122 and 265–323.
- [9] J.F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski, and G. Marmo, *Superposition rules, Lie theorem, and partial differential equations*, Reports on Mathematical Physics **60** (2007), no. 20, 237–258.
- [10] B.M. Doubrov and B.P. Komrakov, *The constructive equivalence problem in differential geometry*, Mat. Sb. **191** (2000), 655–681.
- [11] S.V. Duzhin and V.V. Lychagin, *Symmetries of Distributions and quadrature of ordinary differential equations*, Acta. Appl. Math. **24** (1991), 29–57.
- [12] M. E. Fels, *Exterior Differential Systems with Symmetry*, The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications **144, II** (2008), 351–366.
- [13] R.B. Gardner and N. Kamran, *Characteristics and the geometry of hyperbolic equations in the plane*, J. Differential Equations **104** (1993), 60–117.
- [14] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume I*, John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- [15] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [16] P.J. Vassiliou, *Cauchy Problem for a Darboux Integrable Wave Map System*, Submitted: SIGMA (2012), 1–21.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY, LOGAN UTAH, 84322

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University, Logan Utah, 84322

E-mail address: Ian.Anderson@usu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY, LOGAN UTAH, 84322

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University, Logan Utah, 84322

E-mail address: Mark.Fels@usu.edu